

A DOCPHOENIX

INCOMING	INCOMING	APPL PARTS
ACPA	LET	ARTIFACT
Continuing Prosecution Application	Misc Incoming Letter	Artifact
	IMIS	CLM
AP.B	IMIS Miscellaneous internal Document	Claim
	PGEAReq Express Aband to avoid Publication	COMPUTER
C680 Request for Corrected Notice/Allowance		Computer Program Listing
C.AD	PGA9	CRFL
Change of Address	Req for Corrected Pat App Publication	CRF Transfer Request
CFILE	PGREF	CRFS Computer Readable Form Statement
Request for Corrected Filling Receipt	Req for Refund of Publication Fee Paid	DIST
Papers filed re Certificate of Corrections	PROTEST Protest Documents Filed by 3 rd Party	Terminal Disdaimer Filed
	PROTRANS	
CRFDComputer Readable Form Defective	Translation of Provisional in Nonprovioni	Drawings DRW
	11/12/2003REM 2	
CRFEComputer Readable Form 'ENTERED'	Applicant Remarks in Amendment	FOR Foreign Reference
	RESC	FRPR
EABNRequest for Express Abandonment	RESCRescind Non-Publication Request	Foreign Priority Papers
ELC.	RETMAIL.	IDS Including 1449
Response to Election/Restriction	Mailed Returned by Post Office	
IFEE	XT/ I	NPL
Issue Fee Transmittal PTOL 85 B	Extension of Time filed separate	Non-Patent Literature
IRFND	APPL PARTS	OATH
Refund Request	0740	
L_RINAny Incoming to L&R	371PPCT Papers in a 371Application	PET
-	·	PGPUB DRAWINGS
N417	Amendment Including Elections	Box PG Pub Drawings
Copy of EFS Receipt Acknowledgement	·	OFFILIOT
Notice of Appeal	A.NEAfter Final Amendment	Sequence Listing
PA		
Change in Power of Attorney	A.PE Preliminary Amendment	Specification SPEC
-	ABST	SPEC NO
PC/I Power to Make Copies or to Inspect	Abstract	Specification Not in English
PEF.	ADS	
Pre-Exam Formalities Response	Application Data Sheet	
PEFRREISS	AF/D	
Pre-Exam Formalities Reissue Response	Affidavit or Exhibit Received	
PEFRSEQ	APPENDIX	
Pre-Exam Formalities Sequence Reply	Appendix	

REMARKS

Claims 1-22 are pending in the present application with all claims being rejected in the present Final Office Action.

Claims 1-6, 12-16, 21, and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,946,257 (Keeth). Claims 7-11 and 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Keeth in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,496,027 (Sher).

Keeth describes a memory circuit, which includes distributed voltage generators to selectively provide power to memory arrays of the memory circuit.

Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, the text in column 9, lines 60-65 of Keeth reading:

"This method of array control provides the benefit of flexible fabrication. That is, as integrated circuits become bigger, power distribution becomes more difficult. The requirements of a single voltage generator for an entire circuit would be impractical to meet. Thus, using distributed voltage generators provides a more efficient manner of adjusting an integrated circuit than a series of isolation switches"

does not disclose "at least one enable/disable circuit for selectively connecting and disconnecting at least a portion of the respective macro from the integrated circuit system" recited in Claim 1 as amended or "means for controlling the means coupled to the means for receiving the external voltage according to an enable/disable signal to selectively connect and disconnect at least a portion of the respective macro of the plurality of macros" recited in Claim 12 as filed.

By stating in column 9, lines 64-67: "Thus, using distributed voltage generators provides a more efficient manner of adjusting an integrated circuit than a series of isolation switches" Keeth teaches away from the above stated recitations of independent Claims 1 and 12.

Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-5, 12-16, 21, and 22, it is submitted that they overcome Keeth by virtue of their dependencies on independent Claims 1 and 12. Furthermore, without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 7-11, and 17-20, it is submitted that they overcome Keeth, Sher, and the combination thereof by virtue of their dependencies on independent Claims 1 and 12. Accordingly it is submitted that Claims 1-5, 12-16, 21, and 22 are patentable over Keeth and Claims 7-11, and 17-20 are patentable over Keeth in view of Sher.